



Healthcare governability in a network society:

A multi-actor approach on leading principles for governing beyond silos

Oemar van der Woerd vanderwoerd@eshpm.eur.nl Wednesday, November 18



Research scope

E

;-

A

्र युष

- Scarce attention is paid to the variety and number of networks, and what this means for actors to participate in *multiple* networks at the same time
- How to govern across traditional boundaries, and how to deal with perceived challenges to overcome them? What are leading principles?
- Actor-level governing practices and perceptions are the main focus (Bevir, 2013; Hajer & Versteeg, 2005)
- Explorative questionnaire (n=172), but a heavy accent on case studies in a urbanized and more remote area in the Netherland



Questionnaire results (1)

Ø.

;-

A

명한

• Actors (nurses, physicians, managers and executives) view network involvement different: practice-based versus managerial-oriented professionals

| What are leading principles in governing beyond silos? | | |
|--|--|--|
| Nurses (n=14) | Equality in the process of cooperation (71%) | |
| Physicians (n=40) | It is clear who is (in the end) responsible for what (38%) | |
| Managers (n=72) | The needs and preferences of patients are leading (40%) | |
| Executives (n=42) | The needs and preferences of patients are leading (45%) | |



٢

Output

Questionnaire results (2)

| What does governing beyond silos means? | | |
|---|---|--|
| Nurses (n=14) | Better cooperation between management, Board of Directors and professionals (93%) | |
| Physicians (n=40) | Better cooperation between management, Board of Directors and professionals (55%) | |
| Managers (n=72) | Cooperation across domains (care, welfare, workforce, etc.) (57%) | |
| Executives (n=42) | Cooperation across domains (care, welfare, workforce, etc.) (71%) | |



Questionnaire results (3)

٢

- 220-

;-

Ø

٢

망운

| What are the experienced challenges? | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Nurses (n=14) | A disconnect between organizational-, supervisory- and accountability structures (43%) | |
| Physicians (n=40) | A disconnect between organizational-, supervisory- and accountability structures (28%) | |
| Managers (n=72) | Missing support of health insurers and/or municipalities (39%) | |
| Executives (n=42) | The urgency to act as part of a more collaborative perspective is not (yet) shared (48%) | |



Case studies results

A

ਪ੍ਰਾਹ

- <u>Case 1</u> (*multiple* hospital network involvement) Hospital executives are governed by networks, but also consider networks as a way of governing
- This rethinks their role and position in networks, and redefines their governing abilities in adapting to the variety of networks hospitals participate in
- <u>Case 2</u> (*regional* network building) Network building is a dynamical, layered and iterative trajectory
- Place matters as it questions who is working with who, explaining why and how situated actors are willing (or not) to develop regional arrangements



Discussion

- ਪ੍ਰਤਾ

Ø,

A

- The identified networking practices produce a governance that is 'imperfect' (Bannink & Trommel, 2019)
- This refines our understanding of how networks get substance in practice, with what effects, and which complexities it involves
- Adding actor-level empirical insights to network governance literatures, what does this learn us how to govern (through) networks?
- Attention to a more practice-based governance understanding of *how* to govern beyond silos to unravel "healthcare governability"