


Title: The role of PROMs in Value-based Health Care: A Scoping Review

Oskar Roemeling
University of Groningen
o.p.roemeling@rug.nl

Co-authors: Polchri, G.Y. & Renting, N.

#EHMA2020



Background

Research project by Polchri, G.Y., Renting, N., and Roemeling, O.P.

- VBHC might become the new paradigm, a change from volume driven to value driven care, where value provided to patients is determined to be dependent on patient outcomes, patient experiences, and associated costs.^{1,2,3,4,5}
- Central to determining value, and thus VBHC, are the Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS), indicating patient perceptions of their own health. PROMs reflect patients' perception of their own health.^{3,4,6,7,}
- In this review, we focused on PROMs and we aimed to provide a better understanding on the various roles PROMS serve in practice.


Methods: PRISMA^{8,9}

Scoping Review , 05/19, 11/19
SCOPUS & WoS, EN, Peer-rev,
Ac.Journal

Search1: Start with references to
work of Porter (2009).


Search 2: from 2009: title,
abstract or keywords the terms
“value based health care” or
“value based healthcare”

Refine: Reduced the total list to
137 articles, after full text review
80 remained.



Main findings: roles

Apart from the descriptive outcomes, (e.g. medical discipline, used PROMs), we focus on the identified roles of PROMs in this presentation.





Preliminary conclusions and further research



- PROMs might fulfil multiple roles when applied, but not all identified roles are evenly common in the studied literature.
- Based on this scoping review, we have started a new project aimed at the role of PROMs. Here, we have broadened our search strategy, and we are currently rounding up the analysis. We are especially interested in barriers and facilitators in PROMs adoption.
- We are always open for collaboration!
Please do not hesitate to contact us:
www.rug.nl/staff/o.p.roemeling/ *and* www.rug.nl/staff/n.renting/

#EHMA2020

References

1. Porter, M. E. (2009). A strategy for health care reform - toward a value-based system. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 361 (2), pp. 109-112.
2. Porter, M. E. (2010). What is value in health care? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363 (26), pp. 2477-2481.
3. Porter, E. M., & Lee, H. T. (2013). The strategy that will fix health care. *Harvard Business Review*, 91 (10), pp. 1-19.
4. Porter, M. E., Larsson, S., & Lee, T. H. (2016). Standardizing patient outcomes measurements. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 374 (6), pp. 504-506.
5. Porter, M. E., & Kaplan, R. S. (2016). How to pay for health care. *Harvard Business Review*, 94 (7-8), pp. 88-100.
6. Marshall, S., Haywood, K., & Fitzpatrick, R. (2006). Impact of patient-reported outcome measures on routine practice: a structured review. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 12 (5), pp. 559-568.
7. Ackerman, I. N. (2017). The changing landscape of patient outcome assessment. *Journal of Physiotherapy*, 63, pp. 1-3.
8. PRISMA. (2009). Home, key documents. Retrieved from PRISMA: transparent reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>
9. PRISMA. (2019). Extensions - Scoping Reviews. Retrieved from PRISMA: Transparent reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses: <http://www.prisma-statement.org/Extensions/ScopingReviews>