

STRUCTURAL REGISTRATION AND DISCUSSION OF PATIENT OUTCOME DATA FOR IMPROVING QUALITY OF CARDIAC CARE: APPROACH OF THE NETHERLANDS HEART REGISTRATION

N.M. Medendorp^{1*}, PhD and H.P.A. van Veghel, PhD¹ ¹Netherlands Heart Registration; *niki.medendorp@nederlandsehartregistratie.nl

Introduction

The Netherlands Heart Registration (NHR) facilitates seven registries in which data of all cardiac interventions is collected, including patient outcomes. This data can be used by physicians to identify potentials for improvement of the quality of care. Transparency of outcomes is facilitated by the NHR in nationwide transparent committees, public reports and online dashboards.

Methods

Registration committees are instituted for each registry, consisting of cardiologists or thorax surgeons from participating hospitals. Meetings of the registration committees (i.e. three to four times a year) is one manner in which hospitals are provided insight into cardiac data, as it allows committee members to share information and learn from each other (Figure 1).

The primary objective of the committees is to monitor outcomes per hospital, discuss differences in processes of healthcare delivery, initiate additional research, define hypotheses and share good practices in case of clinical relevant or significant variation of outcomes.





Results

Committees monitor outcome data using, for example, funnel plots and subsequently discussing processes of care in participating hospitals (Figure 2). Regularly, a committee member is invited to enlighten their process when being identified as an outlier regarding a patient outcome, enabling learning and sharing of good practices. Transparency of outcomes and a non-competitive and confidential setting is therefore essential. Also, the committees can organize additional projects to learn more in depth about quality for specific high risk patient groups, e.g. identifying patients who undergo a combined procedure of PCI and TAVI, as recently done by the THI committee (Figure 3) or registration of additional data from patients on cardiogenic shock organized by the PCI committee (Figure 4).



IDENTIFICERE	NDE VARIABELEN			
Variabelenr	Varlabelenaam	Verplicht	Indien niet vorplicht, dan aanvullend voor:	Opmerking
PCHD-10	Interventiensminer	х		
PD-	Interventiodatum	x		
PATIÊNTKAR/	KTERISTIEKEN			
Variabelenr	Variabelenaam	Verplicht	Indien niet verplicht, dan aanvullend voor:	Opmerking
PCI-PAT-	Start cardiogene shock		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Daur klachten		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Systelia: he bloeddruk		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Diastolische bloeddruk		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Hardrequentie		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	OHCA witnessed		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	OHCA duar		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT	IRCA		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Longto		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Gewicht		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Lactaat bij opname		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Hemoglobine bij opneme		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Elsoose bij opneme		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Kreatinine bij opname		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	DK-MB		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Troponine		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	LV-ejectlerrectle ten tijde van sheck		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	Tijdiztip LV-ejectiofractie ten tijde van shock		Project cardiogene shock	
PCI-PAT-	IV-ejectiefractie ten tijde van shock		Project cardiogene shock	

Figure 2. Funnel plot with mortality scores within 30 days after TAVI of participating hospitals.

Figure 3. Percentages of combined procedure of PCI and TAVI of all TAVI's per year.

Figure 4. Manual with variables of the cardiogenic shock project within the PCI committee.

Discussion

- Structural registration and subsequently monitoring of data within registration committees allows insights into outcomes of heart patients and potentials for improvement of quality of care.
- New developments within the NHR, such as an infrastructure for research based on the existing registries (e.g. registry-based randomized controlled trials) may provide possibilities for further evaluation and improvement of cardiovascular care.